Exhibit 5

```
1
           IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2
           FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA
3
                   ALEXANDRIA DIVISION
4
5
    COALITION FOR TJ,
6
                    Plaintiff, :
7
                           : Civil Action No.:
       V.
8
    FAIRFAX COUNTY SCHOOL : 1:21-cv-00296-CMH-JFA
9
    BOARD,
                            :
10
                    Defendant. :
    ----x
11
12
             Deposition of Coalition for TJ
13
              By and through its Designee
14
                      GLENN MILLER
15
                    McLean, Virginia
16
                Monday, October 18, 2021
17
                       2:18 p.m.
18
19
    Job No.: 404859
20
21
    Pages: 1 - 137
22
    Reported by: Judith E. Bellinger, RPR, CRR
```

1	Deposition of GLENN MILLER, held at the
2	offices of:
3	
4	HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
5	8405 Greensboro Drive
6	Suite 140
7	McLean, VA 22102
8	703.714.7400
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	Pursuant to notice, before Judith E.
15	Bellinger, Registered Professional Reporter,
16	Certified Realtime Reporter, and Notary Public in
17	and for the Commonwealth of Virginia.
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	
1	APPEARANCES
2	
3	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
4	GLENN E. ROPER, ESQUIRE
5	PACIFIC LEGAL FOUNDATION
6	1745 Shea Center Dr., Suite 400
7	Highlands Ranch, CO 80129
8	GEROPER@pacificlegal.org
9	
10	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
11	SONA REWARI, ESQUIRE
12	DANIEL STEFANY, ESQUIRE
13	HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH LLP
14	2200 Pennsylvania Avenue NW
15	Washington, D.C. 20037
16	202.955.1974
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1		CONTENTS	
2	EXAMINATION	OF GLENN MILLER	PAGE
3	By Ms. R	ewari	6
4	By Mr. R	oper	131
5		EXHIBITS	
6		(Attached to the transcript)	
7	Coalition E	xhibits:	PAGE
8	Exhibit 12	Predictions for Fairfax County	26
9		Public Schools Lottery Plan for	
10		Thomas Jefferson High School For	
11		Science and Technology	
12	Exhibit 13	Email from Helen Miller to various	68
13		recipients, September 29, 2020	
14	Exhibit 14	Coalition for TJ "Second-Look	77
15		Semifinalist" Alternative to Merit	
16		Lottery	
17	Exhibit 15	Enhancing Diversity in Training	103
18		Program	
19	Exhibit 16	Email from Helen Miller to various	104
20		recipients, October 3, 2020	
21	Exhibit 17	November 17, 2020 Coalition for TJ	108
22		press release	

1	EXHIBITS CONTINUED	l
2	Previously Marked:	
3	Coalition Exhibit:	
4	Exhibit 1 Defendant's Notice of Deposition of 10	ı
5	Plaintiff	ı
6		ı
7		ı
8		ı
9		ı
10		ı
11		
12		ı
13		
14		
15		
16		ı
17		
18		ı
19		
20		
21		
22		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

by key board members. And there was displeasure expressed to the superintendent, Scott Brabrand, about his policy and his reaction was "Well, you asked me for a policy; I came up with a policy. If you don't like it, I'll have to come up with another one." So who are the board members that you say asked for racial balancing? Α Ricardy Anderson requested that the racial demographics of TJ reflect that of the -of the rest of the -- of the catchment area, in particular, Fairfax County. The -- certain Fairfax County officials made statements that they wanted the racial demographics of TJ to reflect that of the rest of the county. And taking them at their word, that would suggest that there would be more -- substantially more Blacks and substantially more Hispanics. And this lottery proposal, based on our calculations, would not dramatically increase the number of Blacks and Hispanics anywhere close to what the county's demographic would indicate, because no effort was

1	influential in the direction of Fairfax County
2	because he had indicated that he had sent out a
3	statement that the counties had to address
4	governor school admissions and take corrective
5	action on their admissions, and our understanding
6	was that Fairfax County was attempting to "get out
7	ahead" of what they thought Atif Qarni was going
8	to demand that they do. So we included him as a
9	result.
10	Q Okay. And, so, this is signed Helen
11	Miller on behalf of the Coalition for TJ.
12	A Uh-huh.
13	Q So was there a discussion amongst
14	members of the Coalition for TJ to have Helen
15	Miller send this email?
16	A Yes.
17	Q Okay. And who, amongst the Coalition
18	for TJ who within the Coalition for TJ
19	determined that Helen Miller should send this
20	email?
21	A I don't recall. I think it was a
22	consensus that she would be the she would send

1	support from the vast majority of groups."
2	What are the various interests and the
3	groups that are being referenced in this sentence?
4	A The various interests were the
5	interests of the school board, the interests of
6	the Secretary the Commonwealth of Virginia's
7	Secretary of Education, the interest of advocates
8	on behalf of underrepresented minorities, the
9	interest of people who support merit education,
10	the interest of people who are exclusively focused
11	on gifted education, the interest of people whose
12	primary goal was defending the rights of
13	Asian-Americans, and, finally, the groups who
14	were who were anecdotally supporting, you know,
15	more Whites at TJ.
16	Q And so the next sentence says "The
17	current climate of acrimony serves no one, least
18	of all, this board and administration."
19	So what is that referring to?
20	A It meant that this was a divisive
21	issue. That it was an issue that was preventing
22	the board from focusing on the pressing needs

1	related to COVID, virtual learning, the needs and
2	interests of the county as a whole, and was taking
3	a disproportionate amount of the county's time up,
4	addressing admissions at TJ, which we believe was
5	due, in part, due to pressure coming from the
6	Secretary of Education.
7	Q Okay.
8	(Coalition Exhibit 14 marked for
9	identification and attached to the transcript.)
10	Q The court reporter's just handed you
11	what we've marked as Exhibit 14.
12	A Yes.
13	Q Is this the second-look proposal that
14	was attached to the email that we just saw?
15	A Yes.
16	Q Who prepared this document?
17	A Who wrote it?
18	Q Yes.
19	A It was prepared by one person in
20	particular and commented on by multiple members of
21	the core of the core group.
22	Q And who was that primary author that

88

1 middle schools. 2 So we were taking that proposal, which 3 was proposed and very much favored by the county 4 in their effort to racially balance TJ, we took 5 that proposal and did -- and used it in a way that 6 would preserve what we felt was very important to 7 TJ, which were the top students. 8 We felt that TJ, that the problem with the merit lottery was that it did not 9 10 differentiate between the really, really top 11 students at TJ, and they got no more better chance 12 of getting into TJ than somebody who is 7,000th in 13 the county, in terms of merit. And that this was 14 a balanced proposal that would -- that would 15 preserve merit at TJ, would preserve the standardized test at TJ, which we believe is a 16 17 critical element to determine merit, and to make a correction to those standardized test scores that 18 19 we believed was due to the county's

underinvestment in preparation for kids in some of

the middle schools in terms of taking standardized

tests. And this was a way of giving a boost to

20

21

95

1 school, rather than doing this based on regions 2 with no emphasis based on the population of the 3 region, et cetera. What this did is it took a --4 it would allow each school to have a certain 5 minimum number of kids to get into the 6 semifinalists program at TJ. So each school that 7 had any -- that had more than five, as I recall, 8 got one second-look semifinalist, and any school, even if they didn't have any semifinalists, would 9 10 be able to pick five students from the top kids at 11 that region, and have those recommended as 12 second-look semifinalists, subject to county 13 review. 14 So each one of the middle schools would 15 be able to pick their top students. And our view was that it would allow schools that had 16 17 historically higher percentages of Black and 18 Hispanic students, where they had professors 19 who -- or teachers who could recognize the top 20 students they thought would flourish at TJ, to be 21 able to pick those top kids and put them into the 22 semifinalist pool automatically. But that --

96

1 rather than having those kids, who might have had 2 lower test scores because they didn't get in, so 3 they, by definition, had lower test scores, it would allow their test scores to be trued up to 4 5 the average of all the students, so all the 6 students in the second-look pool would have a 7 uniform bump to their standardized test score 8 based on the difference between those top kids and 9 the kids that got in. And so that the 10 standardized test scores would be -- would be 11 trued up. 12 And so a kid who, let's say, kid who 13 missed by one point on the standardized test score 14 and who was picked by some school of being a 15 really top performer, would not -- would get a --16 would get the same bump up as a kid who missed it 17 by 22 points. So the kid that missed it by one 18 point would be substantially higher than the kid 19 who missed it by 22 points, but they would both be 20 in the same pool. 21 So the playing field for these students 22 would be level going into the semifinalist group?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

22

Transcript of Glenn Miller, Designee Conducted on October 18, 2021

97

Α No, it would be not leveled, leveled as But on an individual basis, the kids in a second-look semifinalists would have different Because although it would be bumped up by an identical margin, to bring them up to the mean bump, the individuals in that second look would have scores that varied based on the merit of the individual kids. And so the theory being that a kid who is a superstar, who just didn't quite make the second-look semifinalists, let's say this particular kid came from a middle school, an area that didn't have any resources or enrichment and had never taken a standardized test, and hadn't had any practice for taking these sorts of tests, but was so smart that they missed by only one point, and had they had any practice, whatsoever, they would have done really well, that that is the

19 sort of kid that should be in the semifinalist

20 pool to account for the fact that the county had

21 neglected that particular kid.

Q And did the coalition agree that those

98

1 sorts of kids exist within Fairfax County? 2 MR. ROPER: Objection to form. 3 You made this proposal. You agreed that there are kids like this in Fairfax County, 4 5 right? 6 MR. ROPER: Objection to form. 7 We believe, the coalition believes that Α 8 there were kids that are smart kids that have been 9 neglected by the county and not given enrichment 10 and not given STEM enrichment, not given practice 11 taking standardized tests, and not have the same 12 advantages as kids who have two parents and that 13 the county has failed those kids by not investing, 14 and that that is a significant reason why there 15 are so many differences in the -- historically, in 16 the demographics at TJ. 17 But you're proposing -- you proposed to increase their standardized test score. Was the 18 19 theory behind that that the standardized test 20 score of such a student would be lower because 21 of -- for all the reasons that you just cited? 22 MR. ROPER: Objection to form.

1	just talking about?
2	MR. ROPER: Objection to form. Beyond
3	the scope of the 30(b)(6).
4	A Any kid, any kid that has not had
5	practice taking a standardized test would benefit
6	from more county resources invested into taking
7	standardized tests.
8	Q Would the same go for any kid who
9	hasn't had the benefit of a parent who can, as you
10	said, a two-parent family or a parent who can put
11	them into a class to learn how to take
12	standardized tests?
13	MR. ROPER: Objection to form. Beyond
14	the scope of the 30(b)(6) designation.
15	A Our view is that any kid that hasn't
16	had practice could be benefited. It is also our
17	view, however, that there is a disproportionate
18	number of kids, who are Black and Hispanic, who
19	live in parts of the county that are historically
20	underrepresented. Those kids make up a
21	significant number of the kids that would benefit
22	from this program because the county has

1	historically not invested in those areas.
2	So we believe that it would that
3	this proposal would result in disproportionately
4	more Black and more Hispanic students benefiting
5	from this sort of a proposal, while still
6	preserving merit at TJ, and not result in the sole
7	group to have their numbers at TJ go down, being
8	Asian-Americans.
9	MR. ROPER: And we've been going about
10	70minutes.
11	MS. REWARI: Sorry. I lose track of
12	time.
13	MR. ROPER: I don't know if you know
14	how much more you have. Is now a good time for a
15	break?
16	MS. REWARI: Yeah, I have a fair amount
17	more, so why don't we take a break.
18	
19	(Recess taken from 4:39 p.m. to
20	4:54 p.m.)
21	BY MS. REWARI:
22	Q Mr. Miller, before the break we were

1	not our responsibility.
2	Q And the second-look proposal was not
3	adopted by the school board; is that correct?
4	A Yeah, it was ignored.
5	Q You and then, finally, you talked
6	about some of the remedy that is being sought in
7	this litigation and, you know, the importance of a
8	standardized testing to the coalition. Can you
9	differentiate for me between the coalition's
10	policy positions and advocacy regarding TJ
11	admissions and the remedy that the coalition is
12	seeking in this litigation?
13	A Yeah, the Coalition for TJ's policy is
14	that it wants a standardized test. That's its
15	policy. That's what it has advocated for. Its
16	remedy in the litigation, to differentiate
17	between, is the current admission system, which we
18	regard as unconstitutional, being joined and the
19	Court, working with the county, come up with an
20	alternative that passes constitutional muster.
21	MR. ROPER: No further questions.
22	MS. REWARI: I don't have any redirect.

1	CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	I, JUDITH E. BELLINGER, RPR, CRR, the
3	officer before whom the foregoing deposition was
4	taken, do hereby certify that the foregoing
5	transcript is a true and correct record of the
6	testimony given; that said testimony was taken by
7	me and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my
8	direction; that reading and signing was not
9	requested; and that I am neither counsel for,
10	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
11	this case and have no interest, financial or
12	otherwise, in its outcome.
13	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set
14	my hand and affixed my notarial seal this 5th day
15	of November, 2021.
16	My Commission Expires: September 30, 2024
17	
18	Cludith C. Pallinger
19	
20	NOTARY PUBLIC IN AND FOR
21	THE COMMONWEALTH OF VIRGINIA
22	

No. 404859

Re: Deposition of Glenn Miller, Designee

Date: 10/18/2021

Case: Coalition for TJ -v- Fairfax County School Board

Return to: transcripts@planetdepos.com

ACKNOWLEDGMENT OF DEPONENT

I, Glenn Miller, Designee, do hereby acknowledge that I have read and examined the foregoing testimony, and the same is a true, correct and complete transcription of the testimony given by me and any corrections appear on the attached Errata sheet signed by me.

1302/2021

(Date)

(Signature)

No. 404859

Re:

Return to: transcripts@planetdepos.com

Deposition of Glenn Miller, Designee

Date: 10/18/2021

Case: Coalition for TJ -v- Fairfax County School Board 6/36=1 change

Return to: transcripts@planetdepos.com

Page	Line	Correction/Change and Reason
6	14	"Savile"- Not "Seville"
18	18	"I" talked to Himanshu Verma.
20	12	Coalition
21	21	My recollection is Wancy Trainer Was told
25	5	Equal Protection
29	6	"got" should be "gotten"
21	9	Coalition;
36	11	"more than" should be "more of them"
31	15	"You mean" should be "Do you mean"
54	13	"referring to the two" shall be "referring to to the to
55	14	"His panies in" =should be "His panies than in
56	7	"represented" should be "underrepresented" "need" should be "need"
74	15	"need" should be "needs"
	2	
	-	- 22

(Signature)